BRB No. 97-1550 BLA

| WAYNE EADS |) |
|--|----------------------|
| Claimant-Petitioner |) |
| v. |) DATE ISSUED: |
| PHOENIX RESOURCES |) |
| and |) |
| WEST VIRGINIA COAL WORKERS' PNEUMOCONIOSIS FUND |))) |
| Employer/Carrier- |) |
| Respondents |) |
| DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF WORKERS' |) |
| COMPENSATION PROGRAMS, UNITED |) |
| STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR |) |
| Party-in-Interest |) DECISION and ORDER |

Appeal of the Decision and Order of Gerald M. Tierney, Administrative Law Judge, United States Department of Labor.

Wayne Eads, Webster Springs, West Virginia, pro se.

K. Keian Weld (West Virginia Coal Workers' Pneumoconiosis Fund), Charleston, West Virginia, for employer.

Before: HALL, Chief Administrative Appeals Judge, BROWN and McGRANERY, Administrative Appeals Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Claimant, without the assistance of legal counsel, appeals the Decision and Order (96-BLA-441) of Administrative Law Judge Gerald M. Tierney denying benefits on a claim filed pursuant to the provisions of Title IV of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, as amended, 30 U.S.C. §901 *et seq*. (the Act). The administrative law judge credited claimant with thirteen years of coal mine employment and adjudicated this claim pursuant to 20 C.F.R. Part 718. The administrative law judge found the evidence of record sufficient to establish the existence of pneumoconiosis pursuant to 20 C.F.R. §718.202(a)(1), but insufficient to establish total disability pursuant to 20 C.F.R. §718.204(c)(1)-(4). Accordingly, benefits were denied. On appeal, claimant generally contends that he is entitled to benefits. Employer responds, urging affirmance of the denial of benefits. The Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, has not participated in this appeal.

In an appeal filed by a claimant without the assistance of counsel, the Board considers the issue raised to be whether the Decision and Order below is supported by substantial evidence. *Stark v. Director, OWCP*, 9 BLR 1-36 (1986). We must affirm the administrative law judge's Decision and Order if the findings of fact and conclusions of law are rational, are supported by substantial evidence, and are in accordance with law. 33 U.S.C. §921(b)(3), as incorporated by 30 U.S.C. §932(a); *O'Keeffe v. Smith, Hinchman & Grylls Associates, Inc.*, 380 U.S. 359 (1965).

In order to establish entitlement to benefits in a living miner's claim pursuant to 20 C.F.R. Part 718, claimant must establish that he suffers from pneumoconiosis; that the pneumoconiosis arose out of coal mine employment; and that the pneumoconiosis is totally disabling. *See* 20 C.F.R. §§718.3, 718.202, 718.203, 718.204. Failure of claimant to establish any of these elements precludes entitlement. *Trent v. Director, OWCP*, 11 BLR 1-26 (1987); *Perry v. Director, OWCP*, 9 BLR 1-1 (1986).

After consideration of the administrative law judge's Decision and Order, the arguments raised on appeal and the evidence of record, we conclude that the Decision and Order of the administrative law judge is supported by substantial evidence and that there is no reversible error contained therein. The record contains no qualifying pulmonary function study or blood gas study evidence and thus establishing total disability pursuant to Section 718.204(c)(1)-(2) is precluded. See Decision and Order at 4; Director's Exhibits 12, 15. In addition, the record contains no evidence of cor pulmonale with right sided congestive heart failure, see 20 C.F.R. §718.204(c)(3), and establishing total disability by this method is also precluded.

¹ A "qualifying" pulmonary function study or blood gas study yields values that are equal to or less than the appropriate values set out in the tables at 20 C.F.R. Part 718, Appendices B and C, respectively. A "non-qualifying" study exceeds those values. *See* 20 C.F.R. §718.204(c)(1), (2).

In considering whether total disability was established by the medical opinions of record, see 20 C.F.R. §718.204(c)(4), the administrative law judge permissibly gave great weight the opinion of Dr. Fino, that claimant has no pulmonary impairment, based on his superior qualifications. Clark v. Karst-Robbins Coal Co., 12 BLR 1-149 (1989); Decision and Order at 4-5; Director's Exhibit 19 B. Moreover, the administrative law judge permissibly gave diminished weight to the opinion of Dr. Wantz since he found that it was unclear whether his diagnosis of a mild impairment indicated disability. Clark, supra; Lucostic v. United States Steel Corp., 8 BLR 1-46 (1985); Hutchens v. Director, OWCP, 8 BLR 1-16 (1985); Decision and Order at 4-5; Director's Exhibit 14. The administrative law judge is empowered to weigh the medical evidence and to draw his own inferences therefrom, see Maypray v. Island Creek Coal Co., 7 BLR 1-683 (1985), and the Board may not reweigh the evidence or substitute its own inferences on appeal. See Clark, supra; Anderson v. Valley Camp of Utah, Inc., 12 BLR 1-111 (1989). Consequently, the administrative law judge rationally found that the medical opinions of record failed to establish total disability pursuant to Section 718.204(c)(4). Furthermore, since the administrative law judge properly found that the medical evidence was insufficient to establish total disability pursuant to Section 718.204(c)(1)-(4), lay testimony alone cannot alter the administrative law judge's finding. See 20 C.F.R. §718.204(d)(2); Tucker v. Director, OWCP, 10 BLR 1-35 (1987); Fields v. Island Creek Coal Co., 10 BLR 1-19 (1987); Wright v. Director, OWCP, 8 BLR 1-245 (1985). Thus, we affirm the administrative law judge's finding that the evidence of record was insufficient to establish total disability in accordance with the provisions of Section 718.204(c) as it is supported by substantial evidence and in accordance with law. Inasmuch as claimant has failed to establish total respiratory disability pursuant to Section 718.204(c), an essential element of entitlement under 20 C.F.R. Part 718, entitlement thereunder is precluded. *Anderson*, supra; Trent, supra.

| Accordingly, the Decision and C is affirmed. | Order of the administrative law judge denying benefits |
|--|--|
| SO ORDERED. | |
| | |
| | BETTY JEAN HALL, Chief Administrative Appeals Judge |
| | JAMES F. BROWN Administrative Appeals Judge |

REGINA C. McGRANERY Administrative Appeals Judge